Land surface spinup for episodic modeling

Wayne M. Angevine

CIRES, University of Colorado, and NOAA ESRL

Thanks to:

Marie Lothon, Fabienne Louhou, David Pino, Fleur Couvreux (BLLAST experiment and intercomparison setup)

Eric Bazile (SAFRAN precipitation analysis)

International Soil Moisture Network (Wouter Dorigo, TU Wien) and SMOSMANIA (Jean-Christoph Calvet, Meteo-France)

Soil moisture spinup

Experiments with self-spinup
BLLAST case in France, June 2011
Goal: Correct conditions at midday to investigate of afternoon transition

Why self-spinup? Highly-resolved analyses are not available or reliable

What?
WRF 9/3 km grids initialized with ERA-Interim every day at 0000 UTC
Soil moisture and temperature initialized from ERA-Interim at 0000 UTC 1
June, then self-cycled for 30 days (cycle 1)
Cycle 2 initialized 0000 UTC 1 June with WRF soil moisture and temperature
from cycle 1 at 0000 UTC 1 July

Three configurations of two LSMs:
1. Noah with default four soil layers (thicknesses 0.1, 0.3, 0.6, 1 m)
2. PXLSM with default two layers (thicknesses 0.01, 0.99 m)
3. Noah with thinner top layer (thicknesses 0.01, 0.3, 0.6, 1 m)

What is spinup supposed to do?

1. Increase spatial variation of soil variables (downscaling) (DEMONSTRATED)

2. Remove biases overall and/or in specific locations, land use or soil types (PARTIALLY DEMONSTRATED)

3. Reduce scaling differences due to LSM formulation

4. Compensate for errors in parameters or formulation (LSM, PBL, etc.)

Soil moisture during spinup demonstrating downscaling

ERA moisture dries out after ~ 8 June, std.dev. has no trend

WRF moisture decreases except in early June when it rains

WRF std.dev. increases especially when it rains

PX moisture decreases more slowly than Noah (thicker layer)

PX std.dev. is greatest in whole domain, but least in 10x10 box

Thinner 1st layer in Noah makes it more responsive but changes longer-timescale behavior little

Black = ERA
Blue = Noah, defaults
Cyan = Noah, ZS(1)=.005
Red = PXLSM
Solid = whole domain average
Dashed = 10x10 avg northeast of site

Soil moisture PX level2 vs. Noah level2+3

Soil moisture PX level2 vs. Noah level2+3

Soil moisture during spinup demonstrating downscaling

Detail emerges quickly, seems fully established by 30 days, but std.dev. (previous figure) continues to increase when it rains

Lannemezan (red X) is within the mountain moist zone in ERA, moisture there gradually decreases with spinup and gradients in its vicinity increase

Heat flux during spinup

Heat flux from Noah has detail immediately despite smooth soil moisture because it uses soil and vegetation types

Day 30 and day 60 have similar spatial pattern but magnitude has increased

Precipitation in two LSMs during spinup

Precip from the model itself is the only source of moisture during the spinup

Neither WRF run agrees exactly with analysis (SAFRAN, thanks to Eric Bazile)

WRF has too little rain overall and especially in West

Differences between LSMs are up to 100% in any grid cell, but total only about 13% (Noah higher)

Analyzed total June precip

Total June precip difference

Noah — Total June precip, cycle1

PX — Total June precip, PX cycle1

Precipitation in two(+) LSMs during spinup

Precip from the model itself is the only source of moisture during the spinup

Differences between LSMs total only about 13% (Noah higher)

Noah produces 2-3% less precip in cycle2 than in cycle 1

PX produces 11% less precip in cycle2 than in cycle1

Most precip is due to convergence into the domain, not surface fluxes

Lannemezan (red X) is in a region of strong gradients

(Note that color scale is allowed to saturate over mountains)

Total June precip, Noah default cycle1

Total June precip, Noah default cycle2

Total June precip, Noah 10mm cycle1

Total June precip, Noah 10mm cycle2

Total June precip, PX cycle1

Total June precip, PX cycle2

Soil moisture during spinup compared to data (SMOSMANIA)

Eight sites in France within domain 2 (much site-to-site variation, not shown)

ERA too moist

Noah drying similar to obs
Noah Cycle 1 and 2 straddle obs

PX drying too slow (thicker layer, not strictly comparable since obs only go to 0.3 m depth)

From this, we would expect best performance from either Noah or PX cycle 2

Black = ERA
Blue = WRF
Red = SMOSMANIA
Solid = cycle 1
Dashed = cycle 2

Good sites, Noah default, depth = 0.05+0.25 m

Good sites, PX, depth = 0.505 m

Sounding comparison

Seven ~1700 UTC soundings
(24,25,26,27,30 June, 1,2 July)

Near end of each cycle

Direct initialization from ERA (+) is biased cool and moist

Errors are significantly reduced by one cycle of PXLSM or two cycles of Noah

PX overshoots with two cycles

Individual soundings show best performance from Noah cycle2 or PX cycle1, neither is obviously better

Biases above BL are also cool and moist, little influenced by spinup

Noah 10mm (not shown) is a little better than Noah 10cm

Site 1 7 Soundings

Frequent soundings

25 June is the most canonical afternoon, but note rise in PBL height between 1601 and 1708

MYJ (solid) and TEMF (circles) PBLs on MYJ/Noah cycle2 soil

Both runs capture PBL evolution reasonably well, BUT
MYJ is plotted with 0.2K added and height multiplied by 1.2
TEMF height multiplied by 1.1

So TEMF is doing a little better (less correction needed)

Model stabilizes sooner than reality

Preliminary conclusions and further work

Spinup increases spatial variation of soil variables (downscaling)
  Initial adjustment is quick (a day or two)
  Adjustment continues over months

Spinup removes the moist bias of ERA-Interim

No evidence for reduction of scaling differences due to LSM formulation
  Two LSMs show rather different behavior even after long spinup

Over-drying can compensate for too little entrainment in PBL scheme
  Not clear how to do this prospectively (for forecasting)
  No evidence of general compensation for errors in parameters or
    formulation

Major flaw: Results depend on quality of modeled precip, which is unreliable

Data needed for "completion":
 Representative fluxes and soil parameters for field site grid box

Diurnal patterns:
Soil moisture and temp, 1st level temperature (site 1 only)

Top soil level

PX moisture more variable

Noah moisture and temperature vary little (diurnally or cycle-to-cycle) with default 10cm 1st layer, more with 10mm layer

Blue solid = Noah default, 1st cycle
Blue dashed = Noah default, 2nd cycle
Blue dotted = Noah default, ERA
Red solid = PX, 1st cycle
Red dashed = PX, 2nd cycle
Red dotted = PX, ERA
Cyan solid = Noah 10mm, 1st cycle
Cyan dashed = Noah 10mm, 2nd cycle

Diurnal patterns: Heat fluxes and skin temperature (site 1 only)

Heat flux partitioning goes as expected from soil moisture

Cycling Noah has little effect at this site

Blue solid = Noah default, 1st cycle
Blue dashed = Noah default, 2nd cycle
Blue dotted = Noah default, ERA
Red solid = PX, 1st cycle
Red dashed = PX, 2nd cycle
Red dotted = PX, ERA
Cyan solid = Noah 10mm, 1st cycle
Cyan dashed = Noah 10mm, 2nd cycle

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM sensible heat flux

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM latent heat flux

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM skin temperature

Diurnal patterns:
Soil moisture and temp, 1st level temperature (10x10 avg NE of site)

PX moisture more variable

Noah moisture and temperature vary little diurnally with default 10cm 1st layer, more with 10mm layer

Blue solid = Noah default, 1st cycle
Blue dashed = Noah default, 2nd cycle
Blue dotted = Noah default, ERA
Red solid = PX, 1st cycle
Red dashed = PX, 2nd cycle
Red dotted = PX, ERA
Cyan solid = Noah 10mm, 1st cycle
Cyan dashed = Noah 10mm, 2nd cycle

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM soil moisture 10x10 avg

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM soil temperature 10x10 avg

Diurnal patterns: Heat fluxes and skin temperature (10x10 avg NE of site)

Heat flux partitioning goes as expected from soil moisture

Cycling Noah has more effect on the average than at site 1 only

Blue solid = Noah default, 1st cycle
Blue dashed = Noah default, 2nd cycle
Blue dotted = Noah default, ERA
Red solid = PX, 1st cycle
Red dashed = PX, 2nd cycle
Red dotted = PX, ERA
Cyan solid = Noah 10mm, 1st cycle
Cyan dashed = Noah 10mm, 2nd cycle

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM sensible heat flux 10x10 avg

Noah, Noah 10mm, and PXLSM latent heat flux 10x10 avg