BLLAST Workshop – February 2016 – Wageningen

Meso-scale models confronted with true landuse- and flux maps

Oscar Hartogensis, David Pino, Fabienne Lohou, Wayne Angevine, Maria-Antonia Jimenez, Fleur Couvreux, ...



GOAL: Assess the impact of <u>land-use definition</u> on the <u>model performance</u> (scalar fluxes $\rightarrow H + LvE$)





• Reference:

 Flux-maps based on measured fluxes and a detailed, verified LU-map (recap last workshop)

- Confrontation reference ("truth") with models:
 - 1. Compare true LU-map with model landuse-maps
 - 2. Compare true flux-maps with model flux-maps
 - 3. Flux maps based on model LU-model and measured fluxes



Simplified Land-use map - 30m resolution

Land-use BLLAST-domain 2011





Antoine Masse & Danielle Ducrot (CESBIO)

Digital Elevation Map



WAGENINGEN UR Meteorology and Air Quality

H and LvE fluxes for 25June2011 – DOY176 – IOP5







LU-flux assignment – Direct-method - Assumptions

List of Assumptions:

- Accuracy of the LU-map
- Measured fluxes cover only 1 vegetation type (uniform FP)
- Measured fluxes representative for other surfaces with same/similar vegetation type
 - Vegetation growing stage
 - Soil type and water content
 - Radiation and wind forcing
 - ...
- Simple EB-model to estimate Urban/Bare-soil fluxes

Topography not taken into account

^{. . . .}



	AROME	MESO-NH	WRF
Origin			
Resolution	2.5km	2km	2km
Domain	225x225	120x120	99x99
LU-map	X	\checkmark	\checkmark
LU-classes/pixel	-	12	1

- 1. Compare true LU-map with model landuse-maps
- 2. Compare true flux-maps with model flux-maps
- 3. Flux maps based on model LU-model and measured fluxes



1. LU-maps models – 40x40km













1. MESO-NH: 12 LU-classes with percentage contribution





2. FLUX-maps – 2km resolution



L_vE [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 01:00

H [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 01:00



2. FLUX-maps – 2km resolution



L_vE [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 01:00

H [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 01:00



2. FLUX-maps – 2km resolution

8

4

0

-4

-8

-12

8 -

4

0 -

-4

-8

-12

H [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 12:00

L_vE [W m⁻²] - 25June-IOP5 - 12:00



- All models: H too low and $L_{\nu}E$ too high
- *H* more spatial variability than $L_{\nu}E$
- MESO-NH: least spatial variability
- WRF: most spatial variability



2. FLUX-timeseries from aggregated maps



WAGENINGEN UR Meteorology and Air Quality

3. FLUXmaps MESO-NH including measured fluxes on model LU-map

MESO-NH - 25June-IOP5 - 12:00





3. FLUXmaps WRF including measured fluxes on model LU-map

WRF - 25June-IOP5 - 12:00





- Models agree better on fluxes than on the underlying LU-map
- Weak link LU-map and fluxes:
 - <u>MESO-NH</u>: detailed LU-map, smeared fluxes
 - <u>WRF:</u> coarse LU-map, detailed fluxes
- → **Overall:** <u>Model performance insensitive to LU-definition</u>

Alternatively:

- Link LU-definition and flux controlling parameters are weak?
- LU-definition less important than other dynamics in the model? (Soil Moisture and spin-up time...)













↑ -10

-20

-30

-40

-40

-30

-20

-10

0

 \rightarrow West-East [km]

10

20

30

40



baresoil - 3% urban1 - 0.5%

urban2 - 3.8%

water - 0.3%

unknown - 0.6%

WAGENINGEN UR METEOROLOGY AND AIR QUALITY





3. FLUX-timeseries from aggregated maps – MESO-NH





3. FLUX-timeseries from aggregated maps – WRF

METEOROLOGY AND AIR QUALITY



Available data - Landuse map 30m resolution

forest - 42.3% grassland - 33.9% 5 moor - 7.2% wheat - 3.3% corn - 4% → South-North [km] sunflower - 1% rapeseed - 0.1% baresoil - 3% urban1 - 0.5% urban2 - 3.8% -10 water - 0.3% unknown - 0.6% 15 -10 10 20 -5 0 5 → West-East [km]

Land-use BLLAST-domain 2011



Antoine Masse & Danielle Ducrot (CESBIO)

Available data - Landuse map + EC stations







LU-flux assignment – Direct-method

Land-use BLLAST-domain 2011 2 -1 0 → South-North [km] $\wedge \wedge$ -5 -6 -3 -2 2 3 -1 0 \rightarrow West-East [km]

forest - 42.3%	\rightarrow forest (EC)
grassland - 33.9%	\rightarrow grassland (EC)
moor - 7.2%	\rightarrow moor (EC)
wheat - 3.3%	\rightarrow wheat (EC)
corn - 4%	\rightarrow corn (EC)
sunflower - 1%	\rightarrow corn (EC)
rapeseed - 0.1%	\rightarrow corn (EC)
baresoil - 3%	\rightarrow baresoil (EB-model)
urban1 - 0.5%	\rightarrow urban (EB-model)
urban2 - 3.8%	\rightarrow urban (EB-model)
water - 0.3%	\rightarrow unknown (*)
unknown - 0.6%	\rightarrow unknown (*)



Upscaling - % contribution of each LU

 $\overline{H_{i,j}} = b_{1_{i,j}}H_{LU1} + b_{2_{i,j}}H_{LU2} + \dots + b_{n_{i,j}}H_{LU_n}$





LU-flux assignment – Direct-method – Model Urban and Bare-soil fluxes

$R_n = (1 - \alpha)$ $G = G_{frac} R_n$	$S_{in} + L_{in} - \varepsilon \sigma T_s^4$	 To solve Measured Set Constant
<u>day-time:</u>	<u>night-time:</u>	Phys Constant
$H = \beta \frac{R_n - G}{1 + \beta}$	$H = R_n - G$	
$L_{v}E = \frac{R_{n}-G}{1+\beta}$	$L_{v}E=0$	

Set Constants					
	α	З	G _{frac}	β	
Urban	0.15	0.92	0.3/0.5	5	
Bare-soil	0.17	0.96	0.3/0.5	2	

Lemonsu et al. (2004) and Grimmond&Oke (1999)



Energy-Balance part

LU-flux assignment – Direct-method – Model Urban and Bare-soil fluxes

$$U_* = \sqrt{\frac{U(z_m)}{r_{am}}} \quad with r_{am}(z_m, z_{0m}, L_{mo}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \text{• to Solve} \\ \text{• Measured} \\ \text{• Set Constant} \\ \text{• Phys Constant} \\ \text{• Phys Constant} \\ \text{· Phys Constant} \\ \text{· Phys Constant} \\ \text{· Set Constant} \\ \text{· Phys Constant} \\ \text{· Phys Constant} \\ \text{· Set Constant} \\ \text{· Phys Const$$

Set Constants				
	z _m & z _h	z _{0m}	d	
Urban	30m	0.5m	3m	
Bare-soil	30m	0.05m	0m	



aerodynamic part

MicroSite (20 Hz) •2m: CSAT3 & LICOR7500

Valimev tower (10 Hz) •30m: CSAT3 & LICOR7500 •45m: Gill •60m: CSAT3 & Krypton

SkinFlow mast (20 Hz)
Lowest 2 levels: Kaijo Denki & T-couple
2,3,5,8m: CSAT3 & T-couple



Super Site 1



Valimev tower Laboratoire d'Aérologie



SkinFlow mast University of Utah & Wageningen University









Wheat University of Bonn Edge Site **EDGE SITE** Grass, Edge, Wheat (20 Hz) •CSAT & LICOR Edge Wageningen University Grass Forschungszentrum Jülich & Bonn University KILOMETERS

